

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/786,077	02/28/2001	Sandro Campestrini	СМ 1903/МН	8480
27752	7590 08/04/2003			
THE PROCTER & GAMBLE COMPANY INTELLECTUAL PROPERTY DIVISION WINTON HILL TECHNICAL CENTER - BOX 161			EXAMINER	
			DELCOTTO, GREGORY R	
6110 CENTER HILL AVENUE CINCINNATI, OH 45224			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
	, :	•	1751	

DATE MAILED: 08/04/2003

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)				
Advisory Action	09/786,077	CAMPESTRINI ET AL.				
Advisory Action	Examiner	Art Unit				
	Gregory R. Del Cotto	1751 .				
The MAILING DATE of this communication appe	ars on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence address				
THE REPLY FILED FAILS TO PLACE THIS APF Therefore, further action by the applicant is required to a inal rejection under 37 CFR 1.113 may <u>only</u> be either: (1 condition for allowance; (2) a timely filed Notice of Appel Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 CFR 1.114.	l) a fimely filed amendment whi	cation. A proper reply to a chiplaces the application in				
PERIOD FOR RE	EPLY [check either a) or b)]					
 a) The period for reply expires 5 months from the mailing date of this Adverse, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later the ONLY CHECK THIS BOX WHEN THE FIRST REPLY WAS 706.07(f). 	visory Action, or (2) the date set forth in th an SIX MONTHS from the mailing date o FILED WITHIN TWO MONTHS OF THI	f the final rejection. E FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP				
Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The danave been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of extensor CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the shortened b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later than three most parent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	sion and the corresponding amount of the d statutory period for reply originally set in onths after the mailing date of the final reje	efee. The appropriate extension fee under the final Office action; or (2) as set forth in ection, even if timely filed, may reduce any				
 A Notice of Appeal was filed on <u>14 July 2003</u>. App 37 CFR 1.192(a), or any extension thereof (37 CF 	R 1.191(d)), to avoid dismissal	n the period set forth in of the appeal.				
The proposed amendment(s) will not be entered b						
(a) they raise new issues that would require furth	er consideration and/or search ((see NOTE below);				
(b) they raise the issue of new matter (see Note						
(c) ☐ they are not deemed to place the application issues for appeal; and/or		·				
(d) they present additional claims without cance NOTE:	ling a corresponding number of	finally rejected claims.				
3. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection	ction(s):					
 Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would canceling the non-allowable claim(s). 	I be allowable if submitted in a s	separate, timely filed amendment				
5.⊠ The a) affidavit, b) exhibit, or c) request for reconsideration has been considered but does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: See Continuation Sheet.						
6. The affidavit or exhibit will NOT be considered be raised by the Examiner in the final rejection.	cause it is not directed SOLELY	to issues which were newly				
☐ For purposes of Appeal, the proposed amendment(s) a)☐ will not be entered or b)☐ will be entered and an explanation of how the new or amended claims would be rejected is provided below or appended.						
The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows	:					
Claim(s) allowed:						
Claim(s) objected to:						
Claim(s) rejected:						
Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:		•				
8. The proposed drawing correction filed on is	s a)□ approved or b)□ disap	proved by the Examiner.				
9. Note the attached Information Disclosure Stateme	ent(s)(PTO-1449) Paper No(s).					
10. Other:		Gregory R. Del Cotto Primary Examiner				
		Art Unit: 1751				

Y

Continuation of 5. does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: Applicant's arguments are insufficient to overcome the rejection(s) as set forth in Paper #14 which have been maintained for the reasons of record. Note that, the Declaration filed under 37 CFR 1.132 has not been considered as noted below.